NAME:	

## LANGARA COLLEGE

## Philosophy 1101: Introduction to Philosophy

## **Practice Quiz #4**

TIME: 20 minutes

1. Match each claim to the philosopher(s) who agree(s) with it (either Leibniz, Hume, or both). [3 marks]

☐ Leibniz ☐ Hume	The mere fact that coal has always burned in our past experience does not by itself logically entail that it will continue to do so in the future.
☐ Leibniz☐ Hume	The way that animals form beliefs about the future is just from noticing simple patterns and expecting them to continue. This is quite different from the scientific reasoning that some humans do.
☐ Leibniz☐ Hume	It is obvious that if some events can be foreseen before any test has been made of them, we must know some things innately.
☐ Leibniz☐ Hume	Knowledge about causes is never acquired through a priori reasoning, and always comes from our experience of finding that particular objects are constantly associated with one other
☐ Leibniz☐ Hume	Our beliefs about the future arise from a kind of natural instinct, which no reasoning or thought process can either produce or prevent.

2. What does Hume mean by 'custom', or 'habit'? [1 mark]

A natural instinct to expect an observed pattern to continue
A rule imposed by polite society
An addictive behaviour
A rational inference, based on understanding

3.	In the table below I have summarised four different accounts of how humans can have
	innate (a priori) knowledge. Next to each view, write down one or two philosophers who
	held that view. Choose from: Plato, Descartes, Kepler, Kant, and Darwin. [2 marks]

Things in the world owe their fundamental structure to our mind's own conceptual activity. All we can know about things <i>a priori</i> is what we have "put into them".
God implanted rational principles in us, to enable us to understand the world he made.
Before entering the physical world, our souls lived in the realm of the Forms, and had knowledge of them. This knowledge, though now hard to access, is still within us.
Our minds have been shaped by natural selection over thousands of generations, so that our innate convictions come from this source.

**4.** Which of the following sentences express a 'relation of ideas', and which ones a 'matter of fact', according to Hume? [2 marks]

The angles inside a triangle add up to 180 degrees
There are mountains on the moon
All tailors make clothes
Sloths are good swimmers

5. Which of the following statements are theories (or hypotheses), and which are observations? [2 marks]

Iron atoms each have exactly 26 electrons.
When Stevin and de Groot dropped lead balls of different sizes from the top of a church tower, they landed at the same time
Swim bladders evolved from lungs
This shark has neither a swim bladder nor a lung

in	ume writes, "Adam, even if his reasoning abilities were perfect from the start, couldn't have afterned from the fluidity and transparency of water that it could drown him, or from the light materials warmth of fire that it could burn him."
W	/hat philosophical conclusion does Hume draw from examples of this sort? [2 marks]
_	
re	ccording to Hume, "All reasonings concerning matters of fact seem to be founded on the elation of Cause and Effect, which is the only relation that can take us beyond the evidence our memory and senses."
	ive one example that illustrates this claim, i.e. describe a case where we use our nowledge of cause and effect to infer something we cannot observe. [3 marks]
cc	ne day you're touring a balloon factory, and you see one worker ask another, "What blour are the balloons in that box?" The second worker pulls out a random balloon from ne box, and sees that it's red. "The balloons in this box are all red", he replies.
rc	uppose that the second worker's belief (that all the balloons in the box are red) is ationally justified. In that case, explain why his observation of the single red balloon annot be his only source of information about the box's contents. [1 mark]
	uggest a possible piece of "background knowledge" that would (together with the bservation of one red balloon) render the worker's belief rationally justified. [1 mark]

9.	Summarise Leibniz's argument that our ability to know universal scientific laws shows that we innately know some "inner principles", or "eternal laws of reason". [3 marks]		