Philosophy 1104: Critical Thinking

Practice Quiz #3

1.	Comment on the following <i>ad hominem</i> (to the person) arguments, explaining why they are, or are not, reasonable.
(i) l	Or. Everett says that my rash is nothing to worry about – just a mild allergy of some kind.
A	and you trust Dr. Everett? Didn't you hear that he cheated on his wife?
(ii)	Dr. Malcolm Wardlaw argues, in the <i>British Medical Journal</i> , that wearing a helmet while cycling is unnecessary, since even bare-headed cycling is much safer than driving a car.
	Yes, but Wardlaw is a well-known opponent of bike helmets, so he's biased on this issue.
(iii)	Gillette does indeed shave closer and smoother. Tiger Woods says so, and he's probably the best golfer ever.
	He sure hits the ball well. But that doesn't make him an expert on razors. I find Gillette no better than the generic brands.

(iv)

Few Biologists but Many Evangelicals Sign Anti-Evolution Petition By KENNETH CHANG (New York Times, Feb. 21 2006)

In the recent skirmishes over evolution, advocates who have pushed to dilute its teaching have regularly pointed to a petition signed by 514 scientists and engineers. The petition, they say, is proof that scientific doubt over evolution persists. But random interviews with 20 people who signed the petition and a review the public statements of more than a dozen others suggest that many are evangelical Christians, whose doubts about evolution grew out of their religious beliefs. And even the petition's sponsor, the Discovery Institute in Seattle, says that only a quarter of the signers are biologists, whose field is most directly concerned with evolution. The other signers include 76 chemists, 75 engineers, 63 physicists and 24 professors of medicine.	
2.	Comment on the following passages, being sure to:
(a) (b)	Identify the type(s) of argument used (e.g. ad hominem, appeal to force, etc.) Say why the argument is reasonable, or not, as the case may be. (Most are unreasonable.)
(i)	I hate jobs where they tell you exactly when you have to take your breaks. You know, you have to work till 10.45am, then you get a break until 11am sharp. It turns whatever you're doing into drudgery. They should just give you certain tasks to complete by the end of the day, and then let you work as you want to.
	Oh sure, that would work! Hey guys, do only as much work as you want to, ok? If you feel tired, then just lie down and rest.
(ii)	Yes, these cookies are wheat-free. I carefully checked each and every ingredient I used, making sure there was no wheat in it.

(iii) —	Old Professor Hampton died of a heart attack last night. They say it was brought on by stress. It just goes to prove what I've been saying for years, that we professors are seriously overworked.
(iv)	The war in Pipelineistan – oops, I mean Afghanistan! – is illegal and unjustified. I mean, taking over a country just to keep the oil flowing in our direction. Yeah, yeah. I've heard your peacenik stuff before. The problem is, the bad guys don't go for all that peace and love talk, and you gotta use guns to keep them in check.
(v)	I can't believe you! You really think that children who grow up in single-mother households are at greater risk of becoming criminals? Those mothers are some of the hardest-working, self-sacrificing people on the planet! Imagine how they'd feel if they heard you say that! What a slap in the face!
(vi)	You think it's reasonable for Scotland to be governed from London? Try saying that in a pub in the east end of Glasgow, and see what happens! You'll need a stomach pump to get your teeth back.
(vii) It's a myth that Chinese people are poorer than Canadians. The GDP of China now stands at almost 8 trillion dollars per year, compared with less than 2 trillion dollars for Canada.

(viii	Hyman Brown was not, contrary to claims on NBC News, any kind of architect or senior engineer in the World Trade Center construction. For one thing, there is no mention of Brown in any history of the towers, even though several other architects and engineers are referred to. Also, his own CV only vaguely refers to his involvement with the project.
(ix)	I wouldn't take Phil 321 with Professor Drear if I were you. Some friends of mine took it last term and they all said he had such a monotonous delivery that it was impossible to stay awake. Then I checked his student evaluations online. For the question, <i>The instructor created interest in the course material</i> , he averaged 1.3 out of 5!!
(x)	Sure, smoking weed is pretty harmless in itself. The problem is that it leads to abuse of hard drugs later. The studies prove it. For example, it's been shown that almost all crack addicts previously smoked marijuana.
3.	The following complex questions either involve an assumption, or ask two questions in one. For each question below say which kind it is, and state the assumption being made, or separate the question into two, as appropriate.
(i)	How long have you been spying on me?
(ii)	Are you aware of the harm that eating beef is doing to your body?
(iii)	Should we welcome refugees and criminals into our great country?

author's real point, but that s'not relevant here.)
To what extent can one argue, on the basis of research of this sort, that voting Republican is a mistake?

4. Read the passage below. (Note that I have edited it in rather unfair way, that conceals the

WHAT MAKES PEOPLE VOTE REPUBLICAN?

(JONATHAN HAIDT, Associate Professor of Psychology at the University of Virginia)

What makes people vote Republican? Why in particular do working class and rural Americans usually vote for pro-business Republicans when their economic interests would seem better served by Democratic policies? We psychologists have been examining the origins of ideology ever since Hitler sent us Germany's best psychologists, and we long ago reported that strict parenting and a variety of personal insecurities work together to turn people against liberalism, diversity, and progress. But now that we can map the brains, genes, and unconscious attitudes of conservatives, we have refined our diagnosis: conservatism is a partially heritable personality trait that predisposes some people to be cognitively inflexible, fond of hierarchy, and inordinately afraid of uncertainty, change, and death. People vote Republican because Republicans offer "moral clarity"—a simple vision of good and evil that activates deep seated fears in much of the electorate. Democrats, in contrast, appeal to reason with their long-winded explorations of policy options for a complex world...

...We can explain how Republicans exploit frames, phrases, and fears to trick Americans into supporting policies (such as the "war on terror" and repeal of the "death tax") that damage the national interest for partisan advantage...